	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/004,463	MOON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Allan W Olsen	1763
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>a</u>	<u>llowed</u>
(1) <u>Allan W Olsen</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Indranil Mukerji</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 February 2004	Time: <u>15:30 EST</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)	
		4
Part I.  Rejection(s) discussed:	,	
Rejection(s) discussed.		
Claims discussed: 1-3 and nonexistant claims 24-29		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	WAS DISCUSSED.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED.
Down 111		
Part III.  ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum	ate record of the substance of	the interview, since the interview
,		
M. Non-		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called to clarify exactly what claims were pending in this Application, because the Notice of Allowance, mailed 10/15/2003, indicated that the allowed claims included claims 24-29, but no such claims could be found in the application. Mr. Mukerji indicated claims 1-3, as amended by a preliminary amendment, were the only claims pending in the application. Therefore, the previous reference to claims 24-29 was clearly erroneous.